Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/537,301	VERVAET ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Satyendra K. Singh	1657
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>RCE</u>	
(1) Satyendra K. Singh.	(3)	1
(2) B. J. Sadoff (attorney of record).	(4)	
Date of Interview: 20 April 2007	Time: <u>11:50 AM</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ App Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	licant's representative)	
Part I. Rejection(s) discussed: N/A		
Claims discussed: 25-33		
Prior art documents discussed: N/A	·	
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
		·
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applic	ant/Applicant's Representati	ve Signature – if appropriate)

Application No.

Applicant(s)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant's attorney on record, Mr. Sadoff was called and provided (by a fascimile) with the proposed Examiner's Amendments to the pending claims (allowable claims drawn to a method of using a composition; claims 8, 15-19 and 21-24), that were approved for allowability by the patentability conference (Michael Wityshyn and Sandy Saucier). Applicant's attorney agreed to the proposed amendments to the pending claims.